

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

| NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🛛 COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) 🗌 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT | NO: | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| AIRS ID#: 0250478 DATE: 7/22/2011 ARRIVE: 11:17 AM | DEPART: | | | | |
| FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-S DADE (KROME) READY-MIX | | | | | |
| FACILITY LOCATION: 18501 SW 88TH ST EXTENSION | | | | | |
| MIAMI 33022 | | | | | |
| Email: Mob CONTACT NAME: LUCY CARDENAS PHO Email: Mob | DNE: (305)226-5214 | | | | |
| ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013 (effective date) (end date) | | | | | |
| Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE | | | | | |
| PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING | | | | | |
| 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Brief Notes: | (check ☑ only one box for each question) | | | | |
| 2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER? If no, who is?: | | | | | |
| If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still LUCY CARDENAS? | | | | | |
| 4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? | | | | | |

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo(cement)comp#1,w/cartridge dustcollector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check ✓ only one box for each question) |
|---|---|
| Date of last inspection: 4/15/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
| Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by: Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? | e following: |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-splitsilo(cement)comp#2 w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
|---|--|
| Date of last inspection: 4/15/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control u emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessa control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? | e of the following: Yes No ary to Yes No Yes No ent of |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tru 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | |

Emissions Unit Section 3 –CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

| PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION | (check only one box for each question) |
|--|---|
| Date of last inspection: 4/15/2010 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |
| PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yard | (check ☑ only one box for each question) |
| 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control emissions by: a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or mo 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necess control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? | re of the following: Yes No sary to Yes No Yes No ment of |
| b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the tr 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? | Yes No |

Facility Section (continued)

| <u>C(</u> | ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY | | | |
|------------|---|-----|--------------------------|---|
| | | | | |
| 1. | Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? | | Yes Yes Yes | ☐ No ☐ No ☐ No |
| 2. | Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| 3. | Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? | | Yes Yes Yes Yes | NoNoNoNoNoNo |
| | gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propare 275,000 gal diesel/yr | | <u>: < 1.0</u> | 0? |
| 4. | Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? | | | ☐ No |
| | | | | |
| <u>G</u> I | ENERAL CONDITIONS | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1. | Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control | | | |
| 2 | devices? Does the owner or operator: | - 📙 | Yes | ∐ No |
| ۷. | a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| | b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit? | | Yes | ☐ No |
| 3. | Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules? | | Yes | □ No |

| RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary □; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary | ho | (check 🗹 o | • |
|--|--|-------------|---|
| concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If only</i> | | uestion 2.) | |
| Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? | [| Yes | ☐ No |
| (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.) a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local a e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior t | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification I to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business day | Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] | ☐ Yes | |
| c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification F to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business. | form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] | Yes | □ No |
| 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air con and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that | separate permit: | | |
| a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i If YES, what was the purpose?b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was | i.e, there is no repeated usage)? | Yes | ∐ No |
| co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? | | | ☐ No ☐ No |
| | | | |
| <u>CHANGES</u> | | (check 🗹 o | |
| Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the factor associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrat 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: | e facility or any emissions units of the facility? | | ☐ No☐ No |
| 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantiall | | Yes | No No No No |
| d. A change in ownership? | [| Yes | ☐ No |
| 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form 30 days prior to the change? | | ted Yes | ☐ No |
| FRANK DELGADO | 7/22/2011 | | |
| Inspector's Name (Please Print) | Pate of Inspection | | |
| 7/20 | 12 | | |
| Inspector's Signature A | approximate Date of Next Inspec | tion | |
| COMMENTS: THE FACILITY IS CLOSED. THE FACILITY IS A STAND-BY CONCRETE BATCH PLANT. | | | |